# DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

# Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

MAY 1 1 2000
No. 00-50

In the Matter of	)	OFFICE OF THE CO
Petition of NewPath Holdings, Inc.	)	
For an Expedited Declaratory Ruling on the	)	CC Docket No. 00-50
Scope of Unbundled Access to the	)	
High-Frequency Portion of Loops	)	

### REPLY COMMENTS OF SBC COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

SBC Communications Inc. (SBC) submits this reply to the comments filed in this proceeding. SBC continues to urge the Commission to dismiss or deny NewPath's petition.

#### I. NEWPATH'S PETITION IS PROCEDURALLY AND LEGALLY FIRM

As stated in SBC's initial comments, NewPath's petition should be dismissed because it is an untimely petition for rehearing of the <u>Line Sharing Order</u>. Sprint recognizes as much by noting the connection between the NewPath petition and the timely filed petitions for reconsideration of the <u>Line Sharing Order</u> filed by AT&T and MCI Worldcom.<sup>1</sup>

GTE also recognizes the infirm nature of NewPath's petition by noting that it does not seek mere "clarification," but an "unlawful retroactive rulemaking." GTE further notes that NewPath's petition does not satisfy the requirements for issuing a declaratory ruling because no controversy exists as to the meaning of the Line Sharing Order. Even the Telecommunications Reseller Association (TRA) recognizes that there is no ambiguity in the Line Sharing Order and that the incumbent does not "carry traditional POTS," "provide analog voice service" or "provide voiceband service" to the resale service customer.

No. of Copies rec'd O+44

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sprint, pp. 1-2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GTE, p. 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> GTE, pp. 2-3, 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> TRA, pp. 5-7. To that extent, the largest association of competitive carriers in the United States disagrees with AT&T that there is any ambiguity in the <u>Line Sharing Order</u>. AT&T, pp. 3-4.

Finally, as both Bell Atlantic and US West point out, what NewPath seeks would be unprecedented - "to both unbundle and provide services for resale over the same loop." No such arrangement is contemplated by the Act.<sup>5</sup>

## II. THE ARTUMENTS ADVANCED IN SUPPORT OF THE PETITION ARE UNFOUNDED AND MISPLACED

AT&T, Sprint, and to a limited extent TRA, filed comments in support of NewPath's petition. The gist of their argument is that additional unbundling is needed to promote the provision of advanced services and to prevent the incumbents from having a first-mover advantage. AT&T, in particular, claims that additional unbundling is necessary so that the incumbents are not the only providers of bundled voice and data services.

For AT&T to make such claims is hypocritical, given its steadfast refusal to open up its own network to competitors, and the fact that it is one of the few providers that can today provide a complete bundle of voice and data (including long distance) services. Moreover, as Bell Atlantic points out, competing carriers are "deploying advanced services as rapidly as incumbents, and cable companies retain their historic lead over broadband access to the home."

Similarly, it is preposterous for AT&T to suggest that there are "no technical, economic, or operational impediments that would preclude the ILECs from providing the relief requested in the NewPath Petition or the AT&T and MCI Worldcom Petitions for Clarification." As Bell Atlantic correctly states, line sharing over resold lines "involves yet another layer of operational complexity, which has not been addressed in connection with the industry's current line sharing implementation efforts." US West also notes that a number of technological and systems

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Bell Atlantic, pp. 3-4; US West, pp. 2-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> AT&T, pp. 6-8; Sprint, pp. 1-2; TRA, pp. 7, 9-10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> AT&T, p. 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Bell Atlantic, pp. 4-5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> AT&T, p. 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Bell Atlantic, p. 2.

changes would be required.<sup>11</sup> Indeed, it was precisely for such reasons that the Commission rejected having more than two service provider's share a single loop.<sup>12</sup> Furthermore, in a resale line sharing situation, the incumbent's relationship with the end user is severed, it provides no retail services, and there is a different set of circumstances than when it has sole responsibility for the retail provision of the voice service on the loop.<sup>13</sup> Finally, AT&T misrepresents the position taken by SBC's affiliate in California wherein AT&T states that the affiliate acknowledged that it could provide both voice and advanced services over the same loop to a UNE-P carrier using the same procedures used to provide line sharing to other CLECs.<sup>14</sup> First, there is no transcript of pages 511-515 in that proceeding as the transcript stopped at page 474. Second, at pages 420-424 of that transcript, the SBC witness actually stated that there had been no request for the necessary OSSs changes to be developed, which is far from saying that more than two carrier line sharing could be implemented with existing OSSs and it cannot be. Thus, the arguments asserted in support of the NewPath petition are both unfounded and misplaced.

### III. CONCLUSION

The Commission should dismiss and/or deny NewPath's petition.

<sup>14</sup> AT&T, fn. 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> US West, pp. 4-5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Line Sharing Order, at para. 74.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Bell Atlantic, pp. 6-7; US West, pp. 4-5.

Respectfully submitted,

SBC COMMUNICATIONS INC.

By MIG W

Alfred G. Richter, Jr.
Roger K. Toppins
Mark P. Royer
1401 I Street N.W., 11<sup>th</sup> Floor
Washington, D.C. 20005
202-326-8898

Its Attorneys

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Regina Ragucci, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing comments were served on this 11<sup>th</sup> day of May, to the following individuals:

Regina Ragucci

ITS 1231 20<sup>TH</sup> STREET, N.W. GROUND FLOOR WASHINGTON, DC 20036 DAVID D DIMLICH ESQ SUPRA TELECOMMUNICATIONS & INFORMATION SYSTEMS INC 2620 SW 27<sup>TH</sup> AVENUE MIAMI FL 33133

ROBERT A AAMOTH JOAN M GRIFFIN KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP 1200 NINETEENTH STREET NW SUITE 500 WASHINGTON DC 20036 LEON M KESTENBAUM JAY C KEITHLEY H RICHARD JUHNKE SPRINT CORPORATION 401 9<sup>th</sup> STREET NW SUITE 400 WASHINGTON DC 20004

RODNEY L JOYCE J THOMAS NOLAN SHOOK, HARDY & BACON LLP 600 14<sup>TH</sup> STREET NW SUITE 800 WASHINGTON DC 20005-2004 PAT WOOD III JUDY WALSH BRETT A PERLMAN PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS 1701 N CONGRESS AVE P O BOX 13326 AUSTIN TX 78711-3326 STEPHEN L GOODMAN HALPRIN, TEMPLE, GOODMAN & MAHER 555 12<sup>TH</sup> STREET NW SUITE 950 NORTH TOWER WASHINGTON DC 20004 JOHN G LAMB JR NORTEL NETWORKS INC 2100 LAKESIDE BOULEVARD RICHARDSON TX 75081-1599

STEVEN GOROSH MICHAEL OLSEN CHRISTINE MAILLOUX NORTHPOINT COMMUNICATIONS INC 303 2<sup>ND</sup> STREET SAN FRANCISCO CA 94107

RUTH MILKMAN THE LAWYER GROUP, LLC 1909 K STREET NW SUITE 820 WASHINGTON DC 20006

JEFFREY BLUMENFELD VICE PRESIDENT AND GENERAL COUNSEL RHYTHMS NETCONNECTIONS INC 6933 SOUTH REVERE PARKWAY ENGLEWOOD CO 80112 GLENN B MANISHIN CHRISTY C KUNIN STEPHANIE A JOYCE BLUMENFELD & COHEN – TECHNOLOGY LAW GROUP 1615 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON DC 20036

SUSAN M MILLER
THE ALLIANCE FOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS
INDUSTRY SOLUTIONS, INC.
1200 G STREET NW
SUITE 500
WASHINGTON DC 20005

MARK C ROSENBLUM STEPHEN C GARAVITO AT&T 295 NORTH MAPLE AVENUE ROOM 3252G1 BASKING RIDGE NJ 07920 JONATHAN M ASKIN THE ASSOCIATION FOR LOCAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES 888 17<sup>TH</sup> STREET NW SUITE 900 WASHINGTON DC 20006 M ROBERT SUTHERLAND STEPHEN L EARNEST BELLSOUTH CORPORATION SUITE 1700 1155 PEACHTREE STREET NE ATLANTA GA 30309-3610

JOSEPH DI BELLA LAWRENCE W. KATZ BELL ATLANTIC 1320 NORTH COURT HOUSE ROAD 8<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR ARLINGTON VA 22201 RONALD L PLESSER STUART P INGIS TASHIR J LEE PIPER & MARBURY L.L.P. 1200 NINETEENTH STREET N W SEVENTH FLOOR WASHINGTON DC 20036

THOMAS M KOUTSKY COVAD COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY 700 13<sup>TH</sup> STREET NW SUITE 950 WASHINGTON DC 20005 BERNARD CHAO
BRAD M SONNENBERG
COVAD COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY
2330 CENTRAL EXPRESSWAY
BUILDING B
SANTA CLARA CA 95050

GAIL L POLIVY GTE SERVICE CORPORATION 1850 M STREET NW 12<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR WASHINGTON DC 20036 JEFFREY S LINDER WILEY, REIN & FIELDING 1776 K STREET NW WASHINGTON DC 20006 GEORGE N BARCLAY MICHAEL J ETTNER GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION 1800 F STREET NW ROOM 4002 WASHINGTON DC 20405 TERRY G MAHN FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 601 13<sup>TH</sup> STREET N W WASHINGTON DC 20005

JONATHAN E CANIS MICHAEL B HAZZARD KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP 1200 NINETEENTH STREET NW FIFTH FLOOR WASHINGTON DC 20036

STEPHEN R BELL THOMAS JONES SOPHIE J KEEFER WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER THREE LAFAYETTE CENTRE 1155 21<sup>ST</sup> STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3384

THEODORE LASSER DOUGLAS ZOLNICK @LINK NETWORKS, INC. 20825 SWENSON DRIVE SUITE 150 WAUKESHA, WI 53186 KECIA BONEY
RICHARD S WHITT
LISA B SMITH
MCI WORLDCOM INC
1801 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N W
WASHINGTON DC 20006

DR. H. GILBERT MILLER
MITRETEK SYSTEMS INC
CENTER FOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS
AND ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY
7525 COLSHIRE DRIVE
MCLEAN VA 22102

RANDALL B LOWE JULIE A KAMINSKI RENEE ROLAND CRITTENDON PIPER & MARBURY, L.L.P. 1200 NINETEENTH STREET N W SUITE 700 WASHINGTON DC 20036 ERNEST G JOHNSON
PUBLIC UTILITY DIVISION
OKLAHOMA CORPORATION COMMISSION
P O BOX 52000-2000
OKLAHOMA CITY OK 73152-2000

JOHN H HARWOOD II WILLIAM R RICHARDSON JR MATTHEW A BRILL WILMER, CUTLER & PICKERING 2445 M STREET N W WASHINGTON DC 20037

ROBERT B MCKENNA U S WEST INC. 1020 19<sup>TH</sup> STREET N W SUITE 700 WASHINGTON DC 20036 R GERARD SALEMME CATHLEEN MASSEY NEXTLINK COMMUNICATIONS, INC. 1730 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE NW SUITE 1000 WASHINGTON DC 20036

LAWRENCE E SARJEANT
LINDA KENT
KEITH TOWNSEND
JOHN W HUNTER
JULIE E RONES
UNITED STATES TELEPHONE ASSOCIATION
1401 H STRET NW
SUITE 600
WASHINGTON DC 20005

COLLEEN M DALE
PRIMARY NETWORK COMMUNCATIONS
11756 BORMAN DRIVE
SUITE 101
ST LOUIS MO 63146

MARGOT SMILEY HUMPHREY KOTEEN & NAFTALIN, LLP COUNSEL FOR NTRA 1150 CONNECTICUT AVENUE NW SUITE 1000 WASHINGTON DC 20036

L MARIE GUILLORY
JILL CANFIELD
NTCA
4121 WILSON BOULEVARD
TENTH FLOOR
ARLINGTON VA 22203

STUART POLIKOFF STEPHEN PASTORKOVICH OPASTCO 21 DUPONT CIRCLE NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON DC 20036 PETER ARTH JR LIONEL B WILSON GRETCHEN T DUMAS PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 505 VAN NESS AVE SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102

RUSSELL M BLAU ANTONY RICHARD PETRILLA SWIDLER BERLIN SHEREFF FRIEDMAN LLP 3000 K STREET NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON DC 20007 JOHN F RAPOSA GTE SERVICE CORPORATION 600 HIDDEN RIDGE HQE03J27 P O BOX 152092 IRVING TX 75015-2092

ELIZABETH H BARNES COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION P O BOX 3265 HARRISBURG PA 17105-3265 CHARLES C HUNTER
CATHERINE M HANNAN
HUNTER COMMUNCIATIONS LAW GROUP
1620 I STREET NW
SUITE 701
WASHINGTON DC 20006

CAROL ANN BISCHOFF COMPEITIVE TELECOMMUNCIATIONS ASSOCIATION 1900 M STREET NW SUITE 800 WASHINGTON DC 20036

WENDY BLUEMLING DSL.net, INC. 545 LONG WHARF DRIVE NEW HAVEN CT 06511 ANDREW D LIPMAN LAWRENCE A WALKE SWIDLER BERLIN SHEREFF FRIEDMAN LLP 3000 K STREET NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON DC 20007 DAVID W ZESIGER INDEPENDENT TELEPHONE AND TELECOMMUNCIATIONS ALLIANCE 1300 CONNECTICUT AVENUE NW SUITE 600 WASHINGTON DC 20036

KAREN BRINKMANN RICHARD R CAMERON LATHAM & WATKINS 1001 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON DC 20004 CINDY Z SCHONHAUT ICG COMMUNICATIONS INC 161 INVERNESS DRIVE ENGLEWOOD CO 80112

ALBERT H KRAMER ROBERT F ALDRICH DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 2101 L STREET NW WASHINGTON DC 20037-1526 JANICE MYLES COMMON CARRIER BUREAU FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION 445 12<sup>TH</sup> STREET SW WASHINGTON DC 20554

LAWRENCE E STRICKLING CHIEF COMMON CARRIER BUREAU FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION 445 12<sup>TH</sup> STREET SW WASHINGTON DC 20554 MAGALIE ROMAN SALAS SECRETARY FCC THE PORTALS 445 12<sup>TH</sup> ST. SW WASHINGTON, DC 20554